Family Name	Scott
Given Name	Chris
Person ID	1287630
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Family Name	Scott
Given Name	Chris
Person ID	1287630
Title	JPA 34 M6 Junction 25
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	green land, farm fields, and Green Belt etc. I vote against it and the Labour

## Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Against all objections you built a housing estate near to my home near REDACTED TEXT, I already gave many reasons not to proceed with this, one being additional traffic and water pressure. Already the water pressure is now so weak it will hardly activate my boiler to get hot water, and I cannot get out of the estate for traffic jams. But you then even allowed more building and expended the new estate, and now I believe want to build more? Who for!?? The council said some years ago churches, schools and depos could be closed and built on because the population in Leigh had declined and there was low birth rate, but now this rubbish about nowhere for people to live. I have 2 empty properties I cannot fill in this same area. The paper is full of houses for sale, so why do we need more and building first on any green land. It doesn't wash with us!

I have also seen other areas now being regularly flooded after this was made clear to you this would happen. Plus you have changed the culture of Leigh.

Both Andy Burnham and Richard Leese are clueless and have no idea about housing, traffic congestion, pollution, carbon footprint, or immigration and probably not much else I imagine. You can forward this on to them and I can personally and politely educate them.

Firstly Green Belt land is exactly that - protected green land that cannot be built on. You are breaking the law and going against the national interest, the people, and against public wishes. The council and MPs are there to listen to and serve the public and the public are against these plans in any form or rewording.

There is more than enough public housing for REDACTED TEXT. Apparently after the virus deaths there should be even more houses for sale.

Building houses means more people coming into the area which means more people looking for work and less work available - so actually creating less jobs and more poverty! But creating more population, more congestion, more pollution, more carbon, less water reserves, less energy reserves, more stress, more confrontation, more dissatisfaction, and worse standard of living and an unhappier public. The more house built just attracts more immigration to fill them. Then what - what next year? The same and same again until there is no space at all and you've built on every blade of grass!? You lot don't have any scope and no future vision and should not be making any decisions. You are idiots!

Secondly use first the brown sites first, and let's just house our own local people and not the World.

Furthermore the town, the facilities, the resources, the roads, and even supplies aren't sufficient for continuous people growth living in this area. You are removing the natural habitat, destroying the environment, killing nature, killing animals, and removing what little free spaces there are. We need to leave space for the future needs. We need to leave space for wildlife and fresh air. You are ruining our land. We need to decrease the local population not expand it with migration and immigration, and cause future problems now. What next decade when this imported population multiples? What then!? The root problem needs to be addressed now! Cancel this framework and say NO. No more!

Very annoyed again by this 'Spatial framework'. It should be stopped and scrapped! So angry! Terrible government! I know everybody I discuss this to feel strongly the same way.

REDACTED TEXT

in Leigh.

Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to

make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Scott
Given Name	Chris
Person ID	1287630
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	green land, farm fields, and Green Belt etc. I vote against it and the Labour

is now so weak it will hardly activate my boiler to get hot water, and I cannot get out of the estate for traffic jams. But you then even allowed more building and expended the new estate, and now I believe want to build more? Who for!?? The council said some years ago churches, schools and depos could be closed and built on because the population in Leigh had declined and there was low birth rate, but now this rubbish about nowhere for people to live. I have 2 empty properties I cannot fill in this same area. The paper is full of houses for sale, so why do we need more and building first on any green land. It doesn't wash with us!

I have also seen other areas now being regularly flooded after this was made clear to you this would happen. Plus you have changed the culture of Leigh.

Both Andy Burnham and Richard Leese are clueless and have no idea about housing, traffic congestion, pollution, carbon footprint, or immigration and probably not much else I imagine. You can forward this on to them and I can personally and politely educate them.

Firstly Green Belt land is exactly that - protected green land that cannot be built on. You are breaking the law and going against the national interest, the people, and against public wishes. The council and MPs are there to listen to and serve the public and the public are against these plans in any form or rewording.

There is more than enough public housing for REDACTED TEXT. Apparently after the virus deaths there should be even more houses for sale.

Building houses means more people coming into the area which means more people looking for work and less work available - so actually creating less jobs and more poverty! But creating more population, more congestion, more pollution, more carbon, less water reserves, less energy reserves, more stress, more confrontation, more dissatisfaction, and worse standard of living and an unhappier public. The more house built just attracts more immigration to fill them. Then what - what next year? The same and same again until there is no space at all and you've built on every blade of grass!? You lot don't have any scope and no future vision and should not be making any decisions. You are idiots!

Secondly use first the brown sites first, and let's just house our own local people and not the World.

Furthermore the town, the facilities, the resources, the roads, and even supplies aren't sufficient for continuous people growth living in this area. You are removing the natural habitat, destroying the environment, killing nature, killing animals, and removing what little free spaces there are. We need to leave space for the future needs. We need to leave space for wildlife and fresh air. You are ruining our land. We need to decrease the local population not expand it with migration and immigration, and cause future problems now. What next decade when this imported population multiples? What then!? The root problem needs to be addressed now! Cancel this framework and say NO. No more!

Very annoyed again by this 'Spatial framework'. It should be stopped and scrapped! So angry! Terrible government! I know everybody I discuss this to feel strongly the same way.

REDACTED TEXT

in Leigh.

Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to
make this section of the
plan legally compliant
and sound, in respect

	1 lades for Everyone Representation 2021
of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	
Family Name	Scott
Given Name	Chris
Person ID	1287630
Title	JPA 36: Pocket Nook
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons -	Hello again,
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I yet again reject this plan and am totally against it! □
	NO MORE HOUSES OR BUILDS ON GREEN LAND OR GREEN BELT!
	I'm totally against your plan, and will vote against anything you propose on green land, farm fields, and Green Belt etc. I vote against it and the Labour Council!
	Wigan should also pull out of this stupid disastrous strategy as Stockport did. REDACTED TEXT
	I urge the councillors and chief planners, plus those responsible and Andy Burnham and fraudsters to resign.
	I like other citizens of Leigh have given up on this corrupt council governing.
	Countless objections by the huge majority and you simply ignore all and continue to build on lies. You are all corrupt and should be held accountable. You do not represent the people of Leigh nor this borough nor voters, and you should be ashamed destroying what little we have I'll never vote for Labour scum again.
	We don't need or want more houses. REDACTED TEXT. More people creates more unemployment and more stress on resources and the environment. We are over populated enough without housing the rest of the World. Mainly immigrants move into this area, and we have enough existing houses for local people and normal movement.
	Homeless people don't have money to buy new Private houses. Homeless people need education and long term jobs and work. Cheaper accommodation and council houses REDACTED TEXT. Address this problem and there will be more than enough empty houses.
	Against all objections you built a housing estate near to my home near REDACTED TEXT, I already gave many reasons not to proceed with this, one being additional traffic and water pressure. Already the water pressure is now so weak it will hardly activate my boiler to get hot water, and I cannot get out of the estate for traffic jams. But you then even allowed more building and expended the new estate, and now I believe want to build more? Who

for!?? The council said some years ago churches, schools and depos could be closed and built on because the population in Leigh had declined and there was low birth rate, but now this rubbish about nowhere for people to live. I have 2 empty properties I cannot fill in this same area. The paper is full of houses for sale, so why do we need more and building first on any green land. It doesn't wash with us!

I have also seen other areas now being regularly flooded after this was made clear to you this would happen. Plus you have changed the culture of Leigh.

Both Andy Burnham and Richard Leese are clueless and have no idea about housing, traffic congestion, pollution, carbon footprint, or immigration and probably not much else I imagine. You can forward this on to them and I can personally and politely educate them.

Firstly Green Belt land is exactly that - protected green land that cannot be built on. You are breaking the law and going against the national interest, the people, and against public wishes. The council and MPs are there to listen to and serve the public and the public are against these plans in any form or rewording.

There is more than enough public housing for REDACTED TEXT. Apparently after the virus deaths there should be even more houses for sale.

Building houses means more people coming into the area which means more people looking for work and less work available - so actually creating less jobs and more poverty! But creating more population, more congestion, more pollution, more carbon, less water reserves, less energy reserves, more stress, more confrontation, more dissatisfaction, and worse standard of living and an unhappier public. The more house built just attracts more immigration to fill them. Then what - what next year? The same and same again until there is no space at all and you've built on every blade of grass!? You lot don't have any scope and no future vision and should not be making any decisions. You are idiots!

Secondly use first the brown sites first, and let's just house our own local people and not the World.

Furthermore the town, the facilities, the resources, the roads, and even supplies aren't sufficient for continuous people growth living in this area. You are removing the natural habitat, destroying the environment, killing nature, killing animals, and removing what little free spaces there are. We need to leave space for the future needs. We need to leave space for wildlife and fresh air. You are ruining our land. We need to decrease the local population not expand it with migration and immigration, and cause future problems now. What next decade when this imported population multiples? What then!? The root problem needs to be addressed now! Cancel this framework and say NO. No more!

Very annoyed again by this 'Spatial framework'. It should be stopped and scrapped! So angry! Terrible government! I know everybody I discuss this to feel strongly the same way.

REDACTED TEXT

in Leigh.

Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to
make this section of the
plan legally compliant
and sound, in respect
of any legal compliance
or soundness matters

	Tidos for Everyone representation 2021
you have identified above.	
Family Name	Scott
Given Name	Chris
Person ID	1287630
Title	JPA 37: West of Gibfield
Туре	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons -	Hello again,
Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I yet again reject this plan and am totally against it! □
	NO MORE HOUSES OR BUILDS ON GREEN LAND OR GREEN BELT!
	I'm totally against your plan, and will vote against anything you propose on green land, farm fields, and Green Belt etc. I vote against it and the Labour Council!
	Wigan should also pull out of this stupid disastrous strategy as Stockport did. REDACTED TEXT
	I urge the councillors and chief planners, plus those responsible and Andy Burnham and fraudsters to resign.
	I like other citizens of Leigh have given up on this corrupt council governing.
	Countless objections by the huge majority and you simply ignore all and continue to build on lies. You are all corrupt and should be held accountable. You do not represent the people of Leigh nor this borough nor voters, and you should be ashamed destroying what little we have I'll never vote for Labour scum again.
	We don't need or want more houses. REDACTED TEXT. More people creates more unemployment and more stress on resources and the environment. We are over populated enough without housing the rest of the World. Mainly immigrants move into this area, and we have enough existing houses for local people and normal movement.
	Homeless people don't have money to buy new Private houses. Homeless people need education and long term jobs and work. Cheaper accommodation and council houses REDACTED TEXT. Address this problem and there will be more than enough empty houses.
	Against all objections you built a housing estate near to my home near REDACTED TEXT, I already gave many reasons not to proceed with this, one being additional traffic and water pressure. Already the water pressure is now so weak it will hardly activate my boiler to get hot water, and I cannot get out of the estate for traffic jams. But you then even allowed more building and expended the new estate, and now I believe want to build more? Who for!?? The council said some years ago churches, schools and depos could

for!?? The council said some years ago churches, schools and depos could be closed and built on because the population in Leigh had declined and

there was low birth rate, but now this rubbish about nowhere for people to live. I have 2 empty properties I cannot fill in this same area. The paper is full of houses for sale, so why do we need more and building first on any green land. It doesn't wash with us!

I have also seen other areas now being regularly flooded after this was made clear to you this would happen. Plus you have changed the culture of Leigh.

Both Andy Burnham and Richard Leese are clueless and have no idea about housing, traffic congestion, pollution, carbon footprint, or immigration and probably not much else I imagine. You can forward this on to them and I can personally and politely educate them.

Firstly Green Belt land is exactly that - protected green land that cannot be built on. You are breaking the law and going against the national interest, the people, and against public wishes. The council and MPs are there to listen to and serve the public and the public are against these plans in any form or rewording.

There is more than enough public housing for REDACTED TEXT. Apparently after the virus deaths there should be even more houses for sale.

Building houses means more people coming into the area which means more people looking for work and less work available - so actually creating less jobs and more poverty! But creating more population, more congestion, more pollution, more carbon, less water reserves, less energy reserves, more stress, more confrontation, more dissatisfaction, and worse standard of living and an unhappier public. The more house built just attracts more immigration to fill them. Then what - what next year? The same and same again until there is no space at all and you've built on every blade of grass!? You lot don't have any scope and no future vision and should not be making any decisions. You are idiots!

Secondly use first the brown sites first, and let's just house our own local people and not the World.

Furthermore the town, the facilities, the resources, the roads, and even supplies aren't sufficient for continuous people growth living in this area. You are removing the natural habitat, destroying the environment, killing nature, killing animals, and removing what little free spaces there are. We need to leave space for the future needs. We need to leave space for wildlife and fresh air. You are ruining our land. We need to decrease the local population not expand it with migration and immigration, and cause future problems now. What next decade when this imported population multiples? What then!? The root problem needs to be addressed now! Cancel this framework and say NO. No more!

Very annoyed again by this 'Spatial framework'. It should be stopped and scrapped! So angry! Terrible government! I know everybody I discuss this to feel strongly the same way.

REDACTED TEXT

in Leigh.

Redacted modification
- Please set out the
modification(s) you
consider necessary to
make this section of the
plan legally compliant
and sound, in respect
of any legal compliance
or soundness matters
you have identified
above.